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## Meeting Agenda

1. Review progress to date and approach
$\checkmark$ Summary of current system performance
$\checkmark$ Performance in context of operational constraints
$\checkmark$ Approach in context of system structure
$\checkmark$ Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid
2. Review results and their meaning
$\checkmark$ Isolate on Mt. Vernon pyramid first
$\checkmark$ Compare scenario A-C with D \& E
$\checkmark$ Extend analysis to include buses required Extend analysis to include schools outside pyramid

## Performance of the Current System Cost Effectiveness

| Cost of Service Analysis | Total | Regular <br> Education | Special <br> Education |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle Equivalents | 6,252 |  |  |
| M\&R Costs | $\$ 12,710,814$ |  |  |
| M\&R Cost per VE | $\$ 2,033$ |  |  |
| Guideline Range | $\$ 1,000-\$ 1,400$ | $\$ 549$ | $\$ 3,377$ |
| Annual Cost per Student | $\$ 744$ |  | $\$ 81,372$ |
| Guideline Range | $\$ 600-\$ 700$ | $\$ 58,118$ |  |
| Annual cost per Bus | $\$ 63,808$ |  | $\$ 11,899$ |
| Guideline Range | $\$ 38,000-\$ 41,000$ | $\$ 11,960$ |  |
| Annual Cost per Run | $\$ 11,941$ |  |  |

## Performance of the Current System Performance Measures

| WHAT IS BEING MEASURED | CALCULATION | PERFORMANCE GUIDELINE | FCPS VALUE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Avg. Buses per 100 Students Transported | Total Buses/ (total students/100) | 1.00-1.30 | 1.00 morning <br> 0.96 afternoon |
| Percent of planned capacity being utilized | Actual passengers/ Planned bus capacity | 60\% - 70\% | ES 48\% <br> HS 84\% <br> MS 74\% <br> SpEd 26\% |
| Avg. daily runs per bus | Total runs / total buses | 3.0 | 3.2 morning 3.4 afternoon |

## Why Are These Indicators Impressive?

1. Service demands are extremely high
$\checkmark$ Variability in length of the instructional day
$\checkmark$ Numerous special schools and programs
$\checkmark$ District-wide and cross-boundary attendance at schools \& programs
2. District Topography is Complex
$\checkmark$ Long travel time \& distances for some schools \& programs
$\checkmark$ Extremely high traffic congestion
$\checkmark$ Complex school boundary \& transportation configurations

# Impact of Instructional Day Length on Transportation (Example for Illustration) 



## Impact of Bell Time \& Run Length Variability

|  | Tier 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tier 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Tier 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \\ & i \\ & 0 \\ & \underset{i}{\prime} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{<}{\Sigma} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{i} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \\ & \underset{K}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{N}{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{K}{\sum} \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\ll}{\Sigma} \\ & \underset{N}{N} \\ & \underset{N}{n} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{i}{\Sigma} \\ & \underset{\sim}{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \\ & \underset{k}{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\sum$ 8 0 0 $i$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \Sigma \\ & \dot{i} \\ & \dot{\theta} \\ & \dot{\theta} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum_{<}^{\Sigma} \\ & \text { مٌ } \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \underset{\ll}{\Sigma} \\ & \underset{\sim}{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Sigma \\ & \underset{\sim}{N} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \\ & \underset{c}{\Sigma} \\ & \stackrel{N}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \\ & \underset{\infty}{¢} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Sigma \\ & \stackrel{\Sigma}{4} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \\ & \stackrel{\Sigma}{k} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{\infty} \\ & \dot{\infty} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\sum$ <br> 8 <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \Sigma \\ & \stackrel{\Sigma}{6} \\ & \text { Oi } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \sum \\ & \underset{i}{8} \\ & \stackrel{7}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ | $\sum$ <br>  <br>  |
| FORT BELVOIR ELEMENTARY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MOUNT VERNON HIGH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHITMAN MIDDLE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

School Name
WASHINGTON MILL ELEMENTARY RIVERSIDE ELEMENTARY


## Illustration of Current Rolling Bell Times <br> Count of Programs by Start Time



Start Time
WPS

## Impact on Overall Fleet Deployment Example of Typical Deployment Pattern



## Actual FCPS AM Deployment Pattern

Morning Fleet Deployment
Percent of Fleet Deployed


## Actual FCPS PM Deployment Pattern

Afternoon Fleet Deployment
Percent of Buses in Use


## What Challenges Does This Pose?

- The system is already being pushed very hard
- There is no slack in terms of underutilized capacity (combination of buses and time)
- Variances in length of instructional day complicate bell time alignment
- Cross-boundary and district-wide programs = long run times and core pyramid bell times coordination difficulties
- "Domino Effect" - Indistinct feeder patterns \& time tiers limit route linkage combinations


## Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid Basic Pyramid Statistics

| MT. VERNON PYRAMID - CURRENT FLEET DEPLOYMENT | Count of Runs |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Morning | Afternoon |
| Total of All Buses Serving Pyramid | 94 |  |
| Total of All Runs for Buses Serving Pyramid | 299 |  |
| Total of all Runs Within Pyramid | 169 |  |
|  | 357 |  |


| MT. VERNON PYRAMID - CURRENT BELL TIMES |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Name | Program | Instr Day | Current Start | Current Dismiss |
| Mt. Vernon HS/Ctr | H | $6: 45$ | $7: 20 \mathrm{AM}$ | $2: 05 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Whitman MS | M | $6: 55$ | $7: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | $2: 40 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Gunston Alternative | A | $5: 00$ | $8: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | $1: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Mt.Vernon Wds ES | E | $6: 30$ | $8: 10 \mathrm{AM}$ | $2: 40 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Gunston ES - FECEP | F | $6: 40$ | $8: 20 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Bryant Ctr - II - PO | A | $6: 40$ | $8: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 10 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Bryant Ctr - III - PO | A | $6: 40$ | $8: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 10 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Bryant HS - PO/FECEP | A | $6: 40$ | $8: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 10 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Bryant/Bucknell ES - FECEP | F | $6: 40$ | $8: 30 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 10 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Washington Mill ES | E | $6: 35$ | $8: 35 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 10 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Woodlawn ES | E | $6: 30$ | $8: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 10 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Woodley Hills ES | E | $6: 30$ | $8: 40 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 10 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Riverside ES | E | $6: 30$ | $8: 50 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 20 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Bryant MS - ALC | A | $4: 00$ | $9: 00 \mathrm{AM}$ | $1: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Mt. Vernon Woods EI | P | $3: 15$ | $9: 10 \mathrm{AM}$ | $12: 25 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Fort Belvoir ES | E | $6: 30$ | $9: 20 \mathrm{AM}$ | $3: 50 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Bryant HS - ALC | A | $4: 00$ | $10: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | $2: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ |
| Mt. Vernon Woods EI | P | $3: 15$ | $12: 25 \mathrm{PM}$ | $3: 40 \mathrm{PM}$ |

## Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid Current Morning Deployment



WAS

## Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid Current Afternoon Deployment



## Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid <br> Results Comparison

Change In-Pyramid Bell Times Only (Low-end Solution)

Scenario B:

- HS start time 8:10
- MS start time 7:20
- ES start 7:45-9:20
- Route conflicts:
- 9\% morning
- 14\% afternoon


## Scenario D:

- HS start time 8:30
- MS start time 8:55
- ES start 7:45 or 9:15
- Route conflicts:
- 12\% morning
- 15\% afternoon


# Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid Results Change In-Pyramid Bell Times Only (Low-end Solution) 

- Conversion of route conflicts to buses required:
- One route does not equal one bus because of route linkage opportunities
- Service considerations (e.g., instructional day) limit the number of linkage opportunities available
- Results:
- 66 route conflicts resolved using existing buses
- 32 route conflicts resolved by adding buses
- 16 new buses required ( $17 \%$ increase)
- Average of only 2 daily routes assigned to each new bus (leaving excess capacity)


## Mt. Vernon Pyramid - Extending the Analysis

Change Times for All Schools Served by Pyramid Buses (Reflects Highest Potential Resource Demand)

- Align all out-of-pyramid school bell times to match Scenario D (Scenario D Revised)
- More reflective of overall project goal (move all HS to a later start time)
- Issues this creates:
- Clustering of bell times impacts route linkages (change from current rolling bell times)
- More schools in Tier 1 required to reduce "bunching" of dismissal times between Tier 2 HS and Tier 3 ES


## Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid Results \& Resource Impacts

Change Times for All Schools Served by Pyramid Buses

- Key Elements \& Adjustments:
- Most ES placed on Tier 1 (7:45 AM start)
- Several ES routes require split to avoid twilight constraint violations
- Several ES routes start between 7:00 and 7:15 A.M.
- Current 25 minute separation between HS and MS maintained


## Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid Scenario D Revised - Core Bell Times

| School Name | Current Start | Inst Day | New Start | New End Tier |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FORT BELVOIR ELEMENTARY | $9: 20$ | $6: 30$ | $7: 45$ | $14: 15$ | 1 |
| GUNSTON ELEMENTARY | $8: 20$ | $6: 40$ | $7: 45$ | $14: 25$ | 1 |
| RIVERSIDE ELEMENTARY | $8: 50$ | $6: 30$ | $7: 45$ | $14: 15$ | 1 |
| WASHINGTON MILL ELEMENTARY | $8: 35$ | $6: 35$ | $7: 45$ | $14: 20$ | 1 |
| WOODLEY HILLS ELEMENTARY | $8: 40$ | $6: 30$ | $7: 45$ | $14: 15$ | 1 |
| GUNSTON ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL | $8: 00$ | $5: 00$ | $8: 00$ | $13: 00$ | 1 |
| MOUNT VERNON HIGH | $7: 20$ | $6: 45$ | $8: 30$ | $15: 15$ | 2 |
| WHITMAN MIDDLE | $7: 45$ | $6: 55$ | $8: 55$ | $15: 50$ | 2 |
| MOUNT VERNON WOODS ELEMENTARY | $8: 10$ | $6: 30$ | $9: 15$ | $15: 45$ | 3 |
| WOODLAWN ELEMENTARY | $8: 40$ | $6: 30$ | $9: 15$ | $15: 45$ | 3 |

NIPS

Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid Scenario D Revised - Morning Deployment


WIS

Example: Mt. Vernon Pyramid Scenario D-Revised Afternoon Deployment


## Mt. Vernon Pyramid Scenario D Revised Results \& Resource Impacts

- 54 additional buses required (57\% increase)
- Marginal cost of additional buses $=\$ 3,417,160$


## Summary of Bell Times Scenario D \& E Revised

Scenario D
Mt Vernon Pyramid

- HS - 8:30
- MS - 8:55
- ES - 7:45 or 9:15

Woodson Pyramid

- HS - 8:30
- MS - 8:35
- ES - 7:40, 7:45, or 9:15

Scenario E
Mt Vernon Pyramid

- HS - 8:30
- MS - 9:15
- ES - 7:50, 8:15, 9:15

Woodson Pyramid

- HS - 8:30
- MS - 8:35
- ES - 7:40, 7:45, or 9:15


## Fleet Deployment Comparison Scenario D \& E Revised

| Measure | Mt. Vernon | Woodson |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Buses in Use |  |  |
| Current | 94 | 124 |
| Scenario D | 148 | 190 |
| Scenario E | 141 | 179 |
| Daily Runs per Bus |  |  |
| Current | 7.0 | 5.0 |
| Scenario D | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| Scenario E | 4.8 | 3.7 |
| Average Bus Running Time |  |  |
| Current | $6: 14$ |  |
| Scenario D | $3: 51$ |  |
| Scenario E | $4: 18$ |  |

## Summary of Results Scenario D \& E Revised

Reflects Highest Potential Resource Impact

Scenario D
Mt Vernon Pyramid

- 54 Additional Buses
- 57\% increase

Woodson Pyramid

- 66 Additional Buses
- 53\% increase

Scenario E
Mt Vernon Pyramid

- 47 Additional Buses
- 50\% increase

Woodson Pyramid

- 55 Additional Buses
- 44\% increase



## Discussion <br> Key Factors Influencing Current Results

- Influence of cross-boundary and district-wide programs
- Influence of non-linear instructional day lengths
- Influence of indistinct tier structure
- Absence of logistical buffers (slack) in the current system
- Influence of indistinct feeder patterns
- Reduction in morning \& afternoon transportation window from 1:45 to 1:30
- Significant morning twilight conflicts for ES causing split routes


## Discussion

Potential Options for Reducing High-End Impact

- Utilize Scenario "D" or "E" HS start/end, but revert to a rolling schedule for other schools
- Opening the transportation time window
- Instructional day changes to achieve more uniformity
- Phased implementation of bell time changes


## Discussion Options for

- Significant programmatic and/or transportation service delivery compromises necessary to reduce cost impact
- Problem is bracketed by length of morning and afternoon route series
- Lack capacity to absorb additional resource demands; need more buses or more time
- Viability of mixing early and late start high schools?
- Viability of a pilot program at one or two pyramids?
- Others?


## Final Steps

1. Gather comments \& finalize analysis by Tuesday, November 1
2. Develop and submit presentation of results to SB by Thursday, November 3
3. Conduct presentation of results to SB during work session of November 7
4. Draft and submit final project report by Friday, November 18
